

From: MOSER Nolan <nolan.moser@state.or.us>
To: dockets@idahopower.com <dockets@idahopower.com>; pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com <pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com>; ANDRUS Stephanie <stephanie.andrus@state.or.us>; dbrown@obsidianrenewables.com <dbrown@obsidianrenewables.com>; regtrackdsire@gmail.com <regtrackdsire@gmail.com>; amc@stateside.com <amc@stateside.com>; lhutchinson@obsidianrenewables.com <lhutchinson@obsidianrenewables.com>; rlorenz@cablehuston.com <rlorenz@cablehuston.com>; cloughlin@sapereconsulting.com <cloughlin@sapereconsulting.com>; orianamagnera@verdenw.org <orianamagnera@verdenw.org>; paul@intermountainwindllc.com <paul@intermountainwindllc.com>; dockets@mrg-law.com <dockets@mrg-law.com>; nrogers@ecoplexus.com <nrogers@ecoplexus.com>; leslie@newsunenergy.net <leslie@newsunenergy.net>; ryan.sheehy@fleetdevelopment.org <ryan.sheehy@fleetdevelopment.org>; jstephens@newsunenergy.net <jstephens@newsunenergy.net>; oregondockets@pacificorp.com <oregondockets@pacificorp.com>; carla.scarsella@pacificorp.com <carla.scarsella@pacificorp.com>; thomas.woodworth@pacificorp.com <thomas.woodworth@pacificorp.com>; rob.macfarlane@pgn.com <rob.macfarlane@pgn.com>; pge.opucfilings@pgn.com <pge.opucfilings@pgn.com>; david.white@pgn.com <david.white@pgn.com>; greg@richardsonadams.com <greg@richardsonadams.com>; jravenesanmarcos@yahoo.com <jravenesanmarcos@yahoo.com>; irion@sanger-law.com <irion@sanger-law.com>
Cc: DAVIS Diane <diane.davis@state.or.us>
Sent: Monday, February 10, 2020, 9:13:07 AM PST
Subject: AR 629 DISPUTE RESOLUTION FOR PURPA CONTRACTS - REVISED SCHEDULE

Good morning AR 629 stakeholders,

I'm writing you to follow up on the workshop we held at the end of last month in this docket.

At that workshop, participants provided valuable feedback on our draft rule concepts, and we discussed the idea of doing broader reform on PURPA related complaints. There was consensus that though there are many issues to work out, a rulemaking addressing a broader reform of complaint elements would be a worthwhile effort. We discussed taking this concept to the Commission for review, to get guidance on scope.

Accordingly, I'm revising our schedule for the remainder of this rulemaking. We've had some discussion of the universe of reforms we could make to the Complaint process to streamline that,

so I do feel comfortable with producing a summary memo for the Commission, so that this may get on an upcoming public meeting agenda. Of course, like any other public meeting agenda item – you’ll have the opportunity to comment on my memo, and will have the opportunity to comment directly to the Commissioners at the public meeting. With these issues in mind I’d like to propose the following revised schedule for this docket –

Date	Event
2/13/2020	Draft rules (ADR process only) circulated
2/20/2020	Draft scoping memo circulated
2/28/2020	Draft scoping memo comments requested
3/3/2020	Public Meeting Memo Posted
3/10/2020	Public Meeting on Scope of Complaint Rule Changes
3/24/2020	Draft rules circulated
3/31/2020	Redlines to draft rules requested
4/9/2020	Workshop
5/5/2020	Rules put before Commission at public meeting to open formal phase

Please let me know if you have any questions or issues associated with this schedule. I will be sending out draft rules on the ADR process this week, but don’t expect any comment or action on that draft until after we get guidance from the Commission on the scope of the broader rulemaking.

Thank you,

Nolan Moser | Chief Administrative Law Judge

Oregon Public Utility Commission | 201 High St. SE, Suite 100 | Salem, OR 97301

503-378-3098 | nolan.moser@state.or.us