IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON

FOR THE COUNTY OF MARION

The Renewable Energy Coalition, Northwest & Intermountain Power Producers Coalition, Oregon Solar Energy Industries Association, and Community Renewable Energy Association,

Petitioners,

vs.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon,

Respondent,

And

PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power,

Intervenor-Respondent.

1	MOTION
2	1.
3	Petitioners Renewable Energy Coalition ("REC"), Northwest & Intermountain Power
4	Produces Coalition ("NIPPC"), Oregon Solar Energy Industries Association ("OSEIA"), and
5	Community Renewable Energy Association ("CREA") jointly move for a stay of this proceeding
6	until such time as the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") adopts revised rules
7	in Docket No. AR 631, in re Rulemaking to Address Procedures, Terms, and Conditions
8	Associated with Qualifying Facility Standard Contracts. Petitioners conferred with counsel for
9	the respondent Commission and intervenor-respondent PacifiCorp, dba Pacific

1 – PETITIONERS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR STAY

Case No. 21cv03740

Hon. Channing Bennett

PETITIONERS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR STAY

1	Power ("PacifiCorp"). The Commission and PacifiCorp both support this motion. While
2	PacifiCorp supports the motion to stay as stated in this first paragraph, PacifiCorp disagrees with
3	some of the allegations and descriptions in the following paragraphs and reserves the right to
4	dispute those if and when necessary.
5	2.
6	A status conference is currently scheduled for this case for Monday, January 31, 2022.
7	3.
8	Petitioners propose to stay the case and postpone the status conference until Commission
9	adopts revised rules in Docket No. AR 631. The rulemaking could resolve some or all of the
10	matters in dispute on a going forward basis.
11	4.
12	This Court has authority to stay this proceeding in order to preserve judicial economy.
13	SLR 7.025; see also, e.g., UTCR 7.020(5) (noting the presiding judge's discretion to postpone a
14	trial date beyond the anticipated timeline upon a showing of good cause).
15	5.
16	Petitioners filed this Petition for Judicial Review "on narrow grounds regarding how
17	Orders No. 20-268 and 20-465 violate or otherwise fail to enforce" the Public Utility Regulatory
18	Policy Act of 1978 ("PURPA"), which is codified at 16 USC § 824a-3; ORS 758.505 - 758.555.
19	Petition for Judicial Review Pursuant to ORS 183.484 ¶ 17. The specific violations at issue
20	concern a business practice of PacifiCorp's under which PacifiCorp would require certain
21	renewable generators known as Qualifying Facilities ("QFs") under PURPA to produce an
22	interconnection study demonstrating that the QF can achieve commercial operations within three
23	years before PacifiCorp would negotiate or execute a power purchase agreement ("PPA") with

2 – PETITIONERS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR STAY

1	the QF as mandated by PURPA. See Petition for Judicial Review Pursuant to ORS 183.484 $\P\P$	
2	31, 39-40. In particular, Petitioners are concerned with the impacts of PacifiCorp's business	
3	practice on small QFs 10 megawatts and smaller in size which are currently eligible for pro	
4	forma standard PPAs. See Petition for Judicial Review Pursuant to ORS 183.484 ¶ 26.	
5	Petitioners maintain that such a business practice is illegal, and the Commission's orders were	
6	therefore invalid to the extent they approved or, in the alternative, failed to prohibit the illegal	
7	business practice. Petition for Judicial Review Pursuant to ORS 183.484 ¶¶ 73, 93-97.	
8	6.	
9	In Docket No. AR 631, the Commission is considering revising certain PURPA rules.	
10	One revision the Commission is considering would effectively prohibit PacifiCorp's business	
11	practice at issue in this proceeding, at least to the extent it could apply to small QFs eligible for	
12	standard PPAs. Specifically, the Commission is considering revising its rules to clarify that	
13	utilities like PacifiCorp must begin PPA negotiations with small QFs eligible for pro forma PPAs	
14	after the QF provides certain specific information that does not include a completed	
15	interconnection study demonstrating that the QF can achieve commercial operations within three	
16	years. See Docket No. AR 631, Order No. 21-353, Appendix A at 1-4, 10, 24-26 (Oct. 26, 2021)	
17	(stating the revised rule under consideration) (excerpt appended hereto as Exhibit 1 to	
18	Declaration of Irion A. Sanger). Instead of requiring a completed interconnection study to	
19	commence negotiations and receive a draft PPA, the proposed rules would require that a QF	
20	merely provide an executed agreement requiring the utility to conduct an interconnection study	
21	"or evidence that no study is required." Id. at 24.	
22	7.	

3 – PETITIONERS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR STAY

1
н
н
-

2

If the Commission adopts the draft rule substantially as proposed, then the new rule could eliminate the need for further court proceedings.

3

8.

4 It is not certain when the Commission will issue a final order adopting revised rules in 5 Docket No. AR 631. The Commission's Chief Administrative Law Judge has indicated that the 6 case will likely proceed in a three-phase approach, with the first phase estimated to end in mid-7 March 2022. Docket No. AR 631, Memorandum at 1-2 (Dec. 3, 2021) (outlining the proposed 8 procedural approach) (appended hereto as Exhibit 2 to Declaration of Irion A. Sanger). But see 9 Docket No. AR 631, Notice of Scope & Scheduling Conference at 1 (Jan. 5, 2022) 10 (demonstrating the schedule has not yet been finalized) (appended hereto as Exhibit 3 to the 11 Declaration of Irion A. Sanger). However, the Commission may not adopt final rules until all 12 three phases are completed, and there is no proposed schedule for completion of the last phase. See id. 13 14 9. 15 For the foregoing reasons, Petitioners respectfully request that the Court enter an order 16 allowing an indefinite stay in this matter until Commission adopts revised rules in Docket No. 17 AR 631. As noted above, the Commission and PacifiCorp both support the requested stay. 10. 18

- 19 Petitioners reserve their rights to move to lift the stay at any time.
- 20 DATED this 13th day of January 2022.

Irion A. Sanger, OSB No. 003750 Joni L. Sliger, OSB No. 180422 Sanger Law, PC 4031 SE Hawthorne Blvd. Portland, OR 97214 Telephone: 503-756-7533 Fax: 503-334-2235 irion@sanger-law.com joni@sanger-law.com

Of Attorneys for Petitioners Renewable Energy Coalition, Northwest & Intermountain Power Producers Coalition, and Oregon Solar Energy Industries Association

Gregory M. Adams, OSB No. 101779 RICHARDSON ADAMS, PLLC 515 N. 27th Street Boise, Idaho 83702 (208) 938-2236 greg@richardsonadams.com

Counsel for the Community Renewable Energy Association

ATTORNEY CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

- 1 I hereby certify that on January 13, 2022, I made service of the foregoing **PETITIONERS'**
- 2 UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR STAY on the parties listed below in the manner indicated:

Stephanie S. Andrus Oregon Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301 <i>Attorney for Respondent Public Utility</i> <i>Commission of Oregon</i>	 U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivery Overnight Courier Email: stephanie.andrus@doj.state.or.us Odyssey File & ServeTM
Dallas S. DeLuca Jeffrey S. Lovinger Anna M. Joyce 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97201-3412 <i>Attorneys for Intervenors PacifiCorp dba</i> <i>Pacific Power</i>	 U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivery Overnight Courier Email: dallasdeluca@markowitzherbold.com jeffreylovinger@markowitzherbold.com TamiHall@MarkowitzHerbold.com Docket@MarkowitzHerbold.com Odyssey File & ServeTM
Gregory M. Adams Richardson Adams, PLLC 515 N 27th Street Boise, ID 83702 <i>Attorney for Petitioner Community</i> <i>Renewable Energy Association</i> DATED this 13thrd day of January 2022.	 U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivery Overnight Courier Email: greg@richardsonadams.com Odyssey File & ServeTM

Irion Sanger, OSB No. 003750 Of Attorneys for Petitioners Renewable Energy Coalition and Northwest & Intermountain Power Producers Coalition, and Oregon Solar Energy Industries Association

9

10

11

12 13