From: MOSER Nolan

To: "pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com"; "dockets@idahopower.com"; "regtrackdsire@gmail.com"; "amc@stateside.com"; "orianamagnera@verdenw.org";

"paul@intermountainwindllc.com"; "dockets@mrg_law.com"; "nrogers@ecoplexus.com"; "leslie@newsunenergy.net"; "ryan.sheehy@fleetdevelopment.org"; "jstephens@newsunenergy.net"; "oregondockets@pacificorp.com"; "carla.scarsella@pacificorp.com"; "thomas.woodworth@pacificorp.com"; "rob.macfarlans@pgn.com"; "pge_opucfilings@pgn.com"; "david.white@pgn.com"; "greg@richardsonadams.com"; "jravenesanmarcos@yahoo.com";

irion@sanger-law.com"

Cc: ANDRUS Brittany; ANDRUS Stephanie; BATMALE JP; COLOMBO Nicholas; ALLWEIN Christopher; DAVIS Diane

Subject: AR 629 DISPUTE RESOLUTION FOR PURPA CONTRACTS - NOVEMBER 13 WORKSHOP TIME, LOCATION, AGENDA, AND CALL-IN NUMBER

Thursday, October 24, 2019 6:06:36 PM

Good Afternoon,

Date:

This email is intended to provide you with details concerning the November 13 workshop for rulemaking docket AR 629.

The Workshop will be held in the Portland State Office Building, Conference Room 1C from 2:30pm to 5:00pm. Below is the address:

Portland State Office Building Conference Room 1C 800 NE Oregon Street Portland OR 97232 Directions:

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/ph/HealthyEnvironments/HealthyNeighborhoods/ClandestineDrugLabs/Documents/driving_directions.pdf
There is street and garage parking near the building.

Below is the dial-in number:

Dial-In Number: 888-363-4735 Access Code 3754949#

Agenda for the AR 629 November 13 Workshop

The purpose of this workshop is to inform next steps in the docket, including the development of a potential straw proposal for future stakeholder review.

AHD proposes the following agenda for our conversation. This agenda can change based on stakeholder input. Please contact me if you have thoughts about potential changes to the agenda.

- 1) Introductions and review of agenda.
- 2) Review of areas of potential common ground.

AHD will share a written comment summary, and describe and facilitate discussion on some areas of overlap in comments received in this docket.

3) Discussion of the categories of disputes.

What are examples of contested issues that would better be addressed in an ADR process?

Are there points of agreement on the types of questions that should not be brought to the complaint process?

4) Should different categories of disputes be addressed differently?

Although PURPA complaints represents disputes between parties with distinct interests, they often involve significant policy questions. Should an alternative track or process be established to address policy questions? Are there other categories of disputes that are appropriate for unique process?

Is there a distinction between QF contractual disputes, and QF disputes where the QF is a customer? (i.e. interconnection, etc.) Should process treat a customer dispute different than a bilateral contractual dispute?

5) How should the Commission engage with the dispute resolution process?

What, if anything, should the Commission's role be in the process, or after the conclusion of a successful, or unsuccessful process?

6) Should party incentives be considered in the development of the dispute resolution process?

Stakeholders argue that the incentives of the participants in the process need to be taken into account. Is this consistent with a fair and impartial resolution of issues in an ADR process?

Should the sophistication and resources of the individual participants be taken into account in the process?

7) Should the dispute resolution process be driven by a statutory goal or purpose along with common goals of cost-efficient, timely, and fair dispute resolution?

If yes, what statutory goal or purpose should drive the process?

8) Discussion of next steps.

Development of a straw proposal and follow-up workshop. Review of dates for key upcoming events.

Thank you – please let me know if you have any questions

Nolan Moser | Chief Administrative Law Judge Oregon Public Utility Commission | 201 High St. SE, Suite 100 | Salem, OR 97301 503-378-3098 | nolan.moser@state.or.us

Please use caution when opening links, attachments or responding to this email as it originated outside of PUC.